M

M+F

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0.25

0.5

 $1/[S] (mM^{-1})$ 

0.75

1.0

-0.25

1/V

(s/µM)

[S] (mM)	Vo (µM/s)	1/[S] (1/mM)	$1/V_o$ (s/ $\mu$ M)
1	1	1	1
2	5/3	0.5	0.6
4	5/2	0.25	0.4
8	10/3	0.125	0.3

1. a. From each graph, you get one data point with values of  $V_o$  (the slope of the dotted line) and [S]. To create a Lineweaver-Burk plot, the reciprocals must be calculated:

- b. x-intercept =  $-1/K_m$
- $K_m = -1/x \text{-intercept} = -1/(-0.25 \text{ mM}^{-1}) = \underline{4 \text{ mM}}$ c. y-intercept =  $1/V_{max}$
- $V_{max} = 1/y$ -intercept =  $1/(0.2 \text{ s/}\mu\text{M}) = 5 \mu\text{M/s}$
- d.  $k_{cat} = V_{max}/[E_T] = (5 \ \mu M/s)/(0.0008 \ \mu M) = 6.250 \ s^{-1}$
- e. catalytic efficiency is measured by the specificity constant:  $k_{cat}/K_m = (6,250 \text{ s}^{-1})/(4 \text{ mM}) = \frac{1562.5 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ mM}^{-1}}{1200 \text{ mM}^{-1}}$
- f. inhibitor line: x-intercept =  $-1/K'_m = -1/(20 \text{ mM}) = -0.05 \text{ mM}^{-1}$ y-intercept =  $1/V'_{max} = 1/(5 \mu \text{M/s}) = 0.2 \text{ s/}\mu\text{M}$
- g. competitive
- h.  $K'_m = \alpha K_m$  So,  $\alpha = K'_m / K_m$  Also,  $\alpha = 1 + [I]/K_I$ Or,  $K_I = [I]/(\alpha - 1) = [I]/\{(K'_m/K_m)-1\} = (5 \text{ mM})/\{((20 \text{ mM})/(4 \text{ mM}))-1\} = (5 \text{ mM})/(5-1) = <u>1.25 \text{ mM}$ </u>
- i. frigidol, since  $K_I < K_m$
- 2. a.  $k_{-1} \gg k_2$  (k<sub>2</sub> is rate-limiting)
  - b. A rate constant for a reaction with a single reactant (in time<sup>-1</sup> units).
  - $c. \quad k_{\text{-1}} \text{ and } k_2$
  - d.  $K_d = k_{-1}/k_1$  and  $K_d = [E][S]/[ES]$
  - e. When rate of formation of ES = rate of breakdown of ES:  $k_1[ES] + k_2[ES] = k_1[E][S] + k_2[E][P]$
- 3. a. True
  - b. False; for example, if the reaction is proceeding under steady-state conditions, the rate will be constant.
- 4. a.b.



- c. Higher. Bilirubin's carboxylates lack the nearby NH3<sup>+</sup> group of glycine, which through electron withdrawing (inductive effect) and through charge balance (electrostatic effect) promotes deprotonation of glycine's carboxyl group.
- d. double-reciprocal or Lineweaver-Burk plot
- e. substrate
- f. bilirubin is a competitive inhibitor
- g. A, D, E, F, H, I, J, K, L, (M)

1

- 5. a. 2, 6
  - b. 1, 2, 3, 4
  - c. 3, 4
  - d. 1,4
- 6. a. competitive
  - b. mixed
  - c. mixed, noncompetitive
  - d. competitive
  - e. Increasing enzyme concentration increases  $V_{max}$ , but doesn't change  $K_m$ . So:
    - A. The slope would *decrease*
    - B. The x-intercept would not change
    - C. The y-intercept would decrease
  - f. Increasing inhibitor concentration shows the same effect (but to a greater extent) as adding inhibitor to a solution that had none. So:
    - A. The slope would *increase*
    - B. The x-intercept would *decrease* (shift left)
    - C. The y-intercept would *increase*
  - g. Yes. P and A compete for binding, and Q and X compete for binding, but neither inhibitor interferes with the binding of the other substrate. It is therefore likely that both can bind together (in place of the two substrates).
  - h. Q; its K<sub>I</sub> is lower (indicating higher affinity)





- 7. a.  $k_1[A][X] + k_4[C] = k_2[B][Y] + k_3[B]$ 
  - b.

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -RT \ln \frac{[C]}{[B]}, \text{ or } \frac{[C]}{[B]} = e^{\Delta G^{\circ} / -RT}$$

some of B will be coverted to C; call this concentration 'x'

$$\frac{[C]}{[B]} = \frac{x}{100 \text{ mM} - x} = e^{-7.2 \text{ kJ/mol}/-(8.31x10^{-3} \text{ kJ/mol} \cdot \text{K})(298 \text{ K})} = 18.31$$
  
x = 1831 mM - 18.31x, or 19.31x = 1831 mM  
x = [C] = 94.8 mM and [B] = 100 - 94.8 = 5.2 mM

c. It depends on the *exact* relationship between [B] and [C]. If [C]/[B] < 94.8/5.2 (or 18.2), the reaction will go B→C; if [C]/[B] > 18.2, C→B; if [C]/[B] = 18.2, the reaction will be at equilibrium.

- 8.  $\Delta G = \Delta G'^{\circ} + RT \ln Q = 7.1 \text{ kJ/mol} + \left(0.008315 \frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{mol} \cdot \text{K}}\right) (310 \text{ K}) \ln \left(\frac{|Z|^2}{|X|}\right)$
- 9.  $\Delta G = \Delta G'^{\circ} + RT \ln Q$

The reaction will be spontaneous when  $\Delta G < 0$ , so

$$0 > \Delta G'^{\circ} + RT \ln \left(\frac{[Y]}{[X]}\right)$$

$$- \frac{\Delta G'^{\circ}}{RT} > \ln \left(\frac{[Y]}{[X]}\right)$$

$$e^{-\Delta G'^{\circ}/RT} > \frac{[Y]}{[X]}$$

$$[X] \cdot e^{-\Delta G'^{\circ}/RT} > [Y]$$

$$- 4.9 \text{ kJ/mol}/$$

· 100 
$$\mu$$
M · e /(0.008315 kJ/mol·K)(310 K) > [Y]

- · 100  $\mu$ M · 0.15 > [Y]
- 15 μM > [Y]
- 10. a. Isocitrate dehydrogenase
  - b. Escherichia coli
  - c. 3D structural information; atomic positions
  - d. Four
  - e. Wild-type enzyme (with bound substrate), Ser113-phosphorylated enzyme (inactive), enzyme with Ser113→Asp mutation, enzyme with Ser113→Glu mutation
  - f. Given the structure of isocitrate (left), and the description, the H-bond likely involves a carboxylate:



- g. The phosphoryl group has -2 charge, which repels the negatively charged carboxyl groups of isocitrate, preventing binding. (The bulkiness of the phosphoryl group could also interfere with binding.)
- h. No
- 11. e. Since [Y] > [X] at equilibrium, the system could be in any of the states described in choices a-d, and it could be far from equilibrium (if [Y] is much greater than [X]). Since no conclusion can be made, the answer is 'none of the above.'
- 12. Acetyl-CoA
- 13. a. True
  - b. True
  - c. True
  - d. False this is true of the sequential model
  - e. False the term 'zymogen' is specific to proteases; in general, the term is 'proprotein'
  - f. True