Stochastic methodology shows molecular interactions protect two-dimensional polaritons

Nadine C. Bradbury ^[5], ¹ Raphael F. Ribeiro ^[5], ² Justin R. Caram ^[5], ^{1,*} and Daniel Neuhauser^{1,†}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

²Department of Chemistry and Cherry Emerson Center for Scientific Computation, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, USA

(Received 14 August 2023; revised 11 January 2024; accepted 23 May 2024; published 12 June 2024)

We introduce stochastic techniques that enable the simulations of polaritons resulting from placing giant two-dimensional molecular aggregate crystals with 108 interacting excitonic dyes in realistic multimode cavities. We show that the intermolecular coupling protects the formation of polariton states in the face of strong molecular disorder due to persistent delocalization of the dark molecular states. This demonstrates the nontrivial role of internal aggregate Hamiltonian in polariton properties, and the new computational method opens horizons for stochastic simulations of related systems.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L241303

Experiments have shown that photophysical and even chemical properties can be modulated by optical cavities, leading to promising potential applications across chemical and materials science domains [1-6]. Thus far, many different types of substrates have been demonstrated with strong electronic coupling to cavities, including semiconductor crystals, molecular aggregates, and organic polymers. Despite this breadth, treatments of experimental data typically rely on the simple Tavis-Cummings Hamiltonian [7], which neglects direct intermolecular interactions between emitters. Any complete description of light-matter interactions should account for the often complex DOS availed by extended matter.

Furthermore, even when multiple molecules are considered, most theoretical studies of molecular polaritons only represent the electromagnetic field with a single boson mode. For system sizes up to a few dozen molecules, high level theoretical methods accurately reproduce simple optical observables [8–10]. However, with the inclusion of long-range coupling, giant systems are needed to predict accurate delocalization and transport properties.

Intermolecular interactions and multiple photonic modes are especially important for molecular aggregates and related biological light harvesting systems, all of which have strongly internal-structure-dependent collective superradiant properties. This is especially true in J aggregates, one of the first studied systems that can form molecular optical polaritons [11,12]. The energy transfer properties in J aggregates relate to internal geometry and the corresponding electronic band structure [13]. Interestingly, tight-binding models suggest strong light-matter coupling can be employed to manipulate spectral and transport properties of dark exciton states (i.e., states with low photonic content) [14-20].

The importance of using a full multimode cavity is highlighted in recent works [16,20–25]. For example, it was shown in red detuned devices the anticrossing between optical and exciton modes is shifted to higher wave vectors, protecting a greater fraction of lower polariton states from localization induced by static molecular disorder [16,22,26,27]. Thus, a many-mode cavity representation is essential for a rigorous investigation of disorder-resistant transport in polaritonic materials.

Here we apply an extremely efficient linearly scaling stochastic approach to study polaritons in large μ m-sized multimode cavities containing two-dimensional (2D) molecular aggregates/crystals with tens of 10⁶ of molecules. Stochastic trace techniques [28] are used to visualize the density of states (DOS), participation ratio, and angle-dependent transmission. Our main finding is that the aggregate structure drastically affects the disorder-dependent properties of the resulting cavity-induced polaritons and weakly coupled states, including line shapes and delocalization measures. These results reinforce that inaccurate characterization of the intermolecular interactions will yield poor results in describing photophysical and transport properties of molecular aggregates in the strong coupling regime.

To show the importance of the intermolecular coupling and its effects on the observables of the traditional Tavis-Cummings Hamiltonian, we employ the J-aggregate transition dipolar coupling as a minimal model that both shows this effect and has direct experimental parallels. The bandlike delocalized density of states in J aggregates leads to fundamentally different light-matter density of states in the strong coupling regime as shown in the cartoon of Fig. 1(a). In the Supplemental Material (SM), we analyze another case, I aggregation [29,30], where there are dark molecular exciton states lower in energy than the collective aggregate peak at k = 0, leading again to distinct exciton delocalization properties relative to J aggregates. The methods presented here are also applicable to any material where the intermolecular interactions are translationally invariant, such as most semiempirical semiconductor Hamiltonians.

Our starting point is a dielectric cavity of thickness L_C , encompassed by two ideal mirrors. We only consider the lowest band of photon modes with $q_z = \pi/L_c$, and the

^{*}Contact author: jcaram@chem.ucla.edu

[†]Contact author: dxn@ucla.edu



FIG. 1. (a) Cartoon diagrams displaying the increase in Hamiltonian complexity in the DOS in this work. Black is used for the molecular DOS, red for photon DOS, and blue to label the q = 0 polariton states. (b) System geometry diagram shows the Coulomb coupling function, *J*, displayed as the coupling to the center green dipole. (c) and (e) DOS diagrams for a *J* aggregate and a crystal without Coulombic intermolecular coupling. A macroscopic number of molecules is used, $N_x = 84375$, $N_y = 25$, with a total of 65–75 photons mode along the long crystal axis below a cutoff of 5.5 eV. The Rabi splitting is around ~0.07 eV, the energetic disorder (Gaussian) standard deviation is of 0.1 eV and the Chebyshev resolution is of 0.01 eV. (d) 3D plot of the angle resolved absorption spectrum of a molecular aggregate measuring 11 x 13 μ m, including 196 photon wave vectors; modes with energy less than $E_0 - J(q = 0)$ are colored in red while those above are in blue.

s and *p* polarizations have the same dispersion, $\omega(q_{\parallel}) = \frac{\hbar c}{\sqrt{\epsilon_c}} \sqrt{q_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{L_c^2}}$, where the zero-wave-vector energy, $\omega_0 = \omega(q_{\parallel} = 0) = \frac{\hbar c}{L_c \sqrt{\epsilon_0}}$, is almost matched to E_0 , the transition energy of the molecular (dye) exciton, with a detuning $\Delta \equiv \omega_0 - E_0$. The empty cavity Hamiltonian is $(\hbar = 1)$

$$H_C = \sum_{q} \sum_{\lambda=s,p} \omega(q) a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(q) a_{\lambda}(q).$$
(1)

A dielectric slab, either an ordered molecular aggregate or a crystal, with small thickness relative to L_C (Fig. 1), is then placed inside the cavity, along its center plane to enhance the light-matter coupling [31,32]. The dyes are placed on a 2D lattice, with crystal vectors defined as $a_1 = (0, l), a_2 = (w, s)$, and the lengths of the molecular aggregate are $L_x = wN_x$, $L_y = lN_y$; the cavity volume is $V_C = L_x L_y L_C$. On each 2D site *j* a dye is placed, with a transition dipole μ_j and an excitation energy E_j , shown in Fig. 1(b). The dipoles are presumed planar, all pointing in the same direction, here taken to be the y axis, so $\mu_j = \mu_0 \equiv \hat{y}$. We assume that the photons and molecule systems share the same Brillouin zone, and share periodic boundary conditions in the plane of the molecule.

We assume energetic site disorder, $E_j = E_0 + \delta_j$, where δ_j is an uncorrelated Gaussian noise with variance σ^2 . Only energetic disorder is considered, rather than positional or orientational, as previous works show that energetic disorder is dominant in molecular aggregates and polaritons [16,20,26,33]. The fixed-direction dyes interact via a transitionally invariant dipole-dipole interaction, labeled J_{i-j} , which to fit experiment is based on finite closely spaced point-charge interactions [29,30,34].

The molecular Hamiltonian is then

$$H_M = \sum_j E_j b_j^{\dagger} b_j + \sum_{ij} J_{ij} b_i^{\dagger} b_j.$$
(2)

With the rotating wave approximation and the Coulomb gauge, the molecule-photon interaction is [26]

$$H_{\rm MC} = \sum_{j,q} \sum_{\lambda=s,p} [g_{j\lambda}(q)a^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(q)b_j + g^*_{j\lambda}(q)b^{\dagger}_j a_{\lambda}(q)], \qquad (3)$$

with a coupling strength

$$g_{j\lambda}(q) = i\Omega_R \frac{E_j}{E_0} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{N\omega(q)}} p_\lambda e^{ir_j \cdot q}, \qquad (4)$$

where the projections along and perpendicular to the field mode are $p_s = (\hat{\mu}_j \cdot \hat{n}_q)$, and $p_p = (\hat{\mu}_j \cdot \hat{q})$, and $\hat{n}_q = [\hat{q} \times \hat{z}]$. The projections are *j* independent as here all dipoles are parallel. The Rabi splitting strength is $\Omega_R = \mu_0 \sqrt{\frac{\pi E_0^2 N}{\epsilon_0 \omega_0 V_C}}$ [26].

The full Hamiltonian is then the sum of the cavity, molecular, and coupling terms, $H = H_C + H_M + H_{MC}$. Without molecular disorder ($E_j = E_0$) and dye-dye interaction ($J_{ij} = 0$), one obtains the analytically solvable multimode Tavis-Cummings Hamiltonian [21]. Similarly, in the absence of disorder, we can also exactly resolve the effects of the aggregate internal structure due to the translational invariance of the Coulomb interaction, which implies the in-plane wave vector q is a good quantum number for both molecular and cavity subspaces. In the latter exactly solvable scenario, using a Fourier-transformed exciton basis, $b^{\dagger}(q) = \sum_j b_j^{\dagger} e^{iq \cdot r_j} / \sqrt{N}$, the Hamiltonian separates into a sum over mode-specific terms,

$$H_{\text{TOT}} = \sum_{q} \left[E'(q)b^{\dagger}(q)b(q) + \sum_{\lambda} [\omega(q)a^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(q)a_{\lambda}(q) + g_{\lambda}(q)a^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(q)b(q) + g^{*}_{\lambda}(q)a_{\lambda}(q)b^{\dagger}(q)] \right], \quad (5)$$

where the modified exciton energies are E'(q) = E + J(q), with $J(q) = \sum_{j} J(j)e^{iq \cdot r_j}$, $E(q) = E_0$ is the (constant) exciton energy, while the delocalized exciton-photon coupling term is $g_{\lambda}(q) = 2i\Omega_R p_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{\omega(q)}}$. The mode specific excitonphoton Hamiltonian is trivially diagonalized, yielding polariton states $\xi(q) = \beta(q)b(q) + \sum_{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}(q)a_{\lambda}(q)$, and a simple modification to the usual expressions for the upper and lower polariton (UP/LP) energies

$$E_{\text{UP/LP}}(q) = \frac{\omega(q) + E'(q)}{2} \pm \sqrt{\Omega_R^2 + \frac{[\omega(q) - E'(q)]^2}{4}}.$$
 (6)

The obtained spectra is exactly the same as that given by the multimode Tavis-Cummings, except that here the momentum-specific interaction replaces the usual noninteracting E(q) molecular energies. For strong interactions as in molecular crystals, with J(q) that may reach up to 0.3 eV or more, the Hamiltonian spectrum is substantially modified due to the intermolecular couplings. Note also that, as usual, for each polariton the wave function amplitudes satisfy:

$$|\alpha_{\lambda}(q)|^{2} = p_{\lambda}^{2} \frac{[E - E'(q)]^{2}}{[E - E'(q)]^{2} + \Omega_{R}^{2}},$$
(7)

where $E \equiv E_{\text{UP/LP}}$, while the photon amplitude $\beta(q)$ is determined from the polariton normalization, $|\beta(q)^2| + \sum_{\lambda} |\alpha_{\lambda}(q)^2| = 1$.

We now turn to the nontrivial case of both strong molecular energetic disorder and intermolecular coupling, $J \sim \sigma \sim \Omega_R$. To model this realistically, we must return to the complete light-matter Hamiltonian. The key to efficient very large-scale calculations is the use of stochastic methods, which require that the action of the Hamiltonian on a given vector be efficient. As the bilinear Hamiltonian conserves the number of polaritons, a single-polariton wave function ψ will be a direct sum of a molecular and cavity (photonic) parts, $\psi =$ $\psi^M \oplus \psi^C$, so computationally it is a vector of length N + 2C, where N and C are the numbers of dye molecules and included cavity modes (the factor of 2 is due to the s and p photon polarizations). Since the vast majority of photon wave vectors are not in resonance with the excitonic system, an energy cutoff is imposed so $C \ll N$. The photon index is denoted by $\ell = (\ell_x, \ell_y)$, associated with a photon mode $q(\ell)$.

When applying the Hamiltonian on such a function, $H|\psi\rangle$, the H_M action involves an efficient convolution of the dye-dye interaction, $\sum_{j \leq N} J_{\ell j} \psi_j^M$ [28]. For the cavity-molecule part H_{MC} , one can use a similar transform, but it is even faster to apply consecutively fractional 1D Fourier transforms. Define $\mathcal{F}_x(\ell_x, j_x) = e^{2\pi i j_x \ell_x/N_x}$, for elements $j_x = 1 \cdots N_x$, $\ell_x =$ $1 \cdots C_x$ with \mathcal{F}_y analogous. Then, for example,

$$\langle \ell \lambda | H_{\rm MC} | \psi^M \rangle = 2i\Omega_R p_\lambda \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{\omega[q(\ell)]N}} \mathcal{F}_y \bigg[\mathcal{F}_x \bigg(\frac{E_j}{E_0} \psi^M(j) \bigg) \bigg].$$
(8)

The scaling of this step is $O(N\sqrt{C})$, and since practical cavities involve at most a few thousand relevant-energy photons, the action of H_{MC} is very efficient.

Given the efficient representation of the action of the Hamiltonian, we use a stochastic resolution of the density of states operator, a common technique in condensed matter systems [28,35,36]

$$\rho(E) = \operatorname{Tr}[\delta(H - E)] = \{\langle \zeta | \delta(H - E) | \zeta \rangle\}_{\zeta}, \qquad (9)$$

where ζ is here a vector of length N + 2C with random $e^{i\theta}$ elements at each site, and the curly brackets indicate a statistical average over the random ζ elements, and simultaneously over the site disorder. The error in the stochastic trace scales as $O(1/\sqrt{NN_{\zeta}})$ [36], and is thus negligible, for sufficiently large crystals, even for very few (here $N_{\zeta} \approx 10-200$) random samples. For the action of the DOS operator on a vector, $\delta(H - E)|\zeta\rangle$, the efficient Chebyshev approach is used [37], with a number of Hamiltonian-vector operations, determined by the desired energetic resolution relative to the spectral width, that is typically less than 2000 [28].

The overall scaling of the method is then limited by the operations needed to incorporate the intermolecular interactions. In our case the application of the dipolar coupling via convolution is effectively linear in time. In Fig. 1(d) we show a large calculation possible with this algorithm, requiring only modest computational time that can be parallelized on a standard 128-core AMD Milan cluster. Given the size of the total basis of 10⁷ elements, memory costs associated with wave function storage quickly become the limiting computational factor for this method.

To examine the local properties of the molecular and photonic subsystems, we similarly stochastically compute the projected matter and light local DOS, $\rho_M(E) \equiv \text{Tr}[P_M \delta(H - E)]$ and $\rho_C(E) \equiv \text{Tr}[P_C \delta(H - E)]$, respectively, introducing the projection operators onto the molecular and cavity (photon) spaces, P_M and P_C , respectively. As there are so many more molecules than photon mode involved in strong light-matter coupling, their local density of states are shown separately in Fig. 1.

The angle resolved photonic density of states (directly proportional to the measurable microcavity angle resolved transmission spectrum), is similarly defined as $A(E, q) \equiv \sum_{\lambda} \langle q, \lambda | \delta(H - E) | q, \lambda \rangle$, where $|q, \lambda \rangle \equiv a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(q) | 0 \rangle$. To efficiently sample it, we introduce a stochastic resolution of the identity, $\mathbb{1} = \{|\zeta \rangle \langle \zeta |\}_{\zeta}$, which when plugged in yields

$$A(E,q) = \sum_{\lambda} \left\{ \langle q_{\lambda} | \delta(H-E) | \zeta \rangle \langle \zeta | q_{\lambda} \rangle \right\}_{\zeta}, \qquad (10)$$

so it is evaluated in the same stochastic process as the total DOS [Eq. (9)], as both use the $\delta(H - E)|\zeta\rangle$ vector.

Without static disorder, the angle resolved transmission is simply proportional to $A^0(E, q) = \sum_{\eta=\text{LP, UP}} \sum_{\lambda} |\alpha_{\lambda}(q)|^2 \delta[E - E_{\eta}(q)]$. Static disorder broadens A(E, q). Its linewidth in q space at fixed E reveals information about the delocalized character of polariton modes at this energy, and whether q is a good quantum number in the presence of disorder [16,21,26,38].

Complementary information is given by the molecular angle resolved DOS obtained from the vectors $|\beta(q)\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j} e^{iq \cdot r_{j}} b_{j}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$. This quantity provides information on the matter component of optically bright upper/lower polariton states at a given wave vector:

$$\rho_{\beta}(E,q) \equiv \langle \beta(q) | \delta(H-E) | \beta(q) \rangle, \tag{11}$$

which is evaluated stochastically analogously to Eq. (10). While in the presence of disorder q is no longer a good quantum number, we clearly visualize (Fig. 3) the tradeoff between molecular and photon contributions, and the energy broadening of the bright states in each subspace.

Figure 1 shows the photonic density of states, for a J aggregate, and an identical lattice with no Coulombic intermolecular terms in the strong disorder regime $\sigma \sim J \sim \Omega_R$. As the number of photon modes is tiny compared to the number of molecular dipoles, there is essentially no change in the total DOS when the cavity is turned on. However, the



FIG. 2. (a) Angle resolved absorption spectrum of a *J* aggregate, and an equivalent (with the same number of monomers) material with no intermolecular interactions in the weak disorder regime $\sigma/\Omega_R \ll 1$ and (b) strong disorder regime $\sigma/\Omega_R \ge 1$. The photonic content of the LP in (c) was obtained by piecewise integration of the angle resolved photon (transmission spectra) and molecular density of states. Here, the same quasi-1D ribbon was used as in Fig. 1, but $N_{\zeta} = 120$ stochastic samples were sufficient to resolve the presented spectra.

 $q \approx 0$ UP/LP states can be identified in the photon DOS when the J aggregate is placed inside the microcavity.

For all observables that include some form of internal aggregate structure there is exchange narrowing, i.e., interaction-induced narrowing of peaks and increase in the participation ratios [32]. Figure 2 shows that, in J aggregates, microcavity coupling induces substantially greater splitting between the LP/UP bands in the face of strong disorder, substantial exchange narrowing, and largely asymmetric line shapes skewing higher in energy. The additional narrowing (i.e., resistance to disorder), relates to the fact that the Jaggregate molecular DOS [Fig. 1(e)] extends higher in energy than an uncoupled system DOS, thus allowing higher-energy photons to remain in resonance with the molecular system. The significant differences in line shape observed between the analyzed aggregates are entirely due to the delocalization of their respective dark exciton states as demonstrated in Fig. 3.

Figure 3 shows the angle resolved molecular density of states and relative wave packet uncertainty for a J aggregate and noninteracting (uncoupled) emitters. We observe much greater wave character in the (weakly coupled) Jaggregate dark exciton modes at higher q, despite the influence of strong disorder. The enhanced wave character of the high-q weakly coupled modes is a byproduct of the strong intermolecular interactions in J aggregates, which also lead to the reduced photonic content in the J aggregate LP band shown in Fig. 2(c), bottom. Additionally, as reported in the SM, the average participation ratio of J-aggregate molecular states is of order 10⁴, while an uncoupled polariton Hamiltonian leads to a maximum participation of 300. Lastly, the well-studied phenomena of exchange narrowing in molecular aggregates [29,32,39,40], is also clearly visible in the $q \sim 0$ polariton transmission spectrum at the top of Fig. 2(c).



FIG. 3. (a) Angle resolved molecular density of states for a *J* aggregate and an equivalent system with no intermolecular interactions in the weak and (b) strong disorder regimes. (c) Relative wave vector uncertainty $\langle \Delta q \rangle / q$ of the molecular wave functions derived from $\rho_{\beta}(E, q)$ [Eq. (11)] in the case of strong disorder at 0.1 eV (top) and weak disorder 0.02 eV (bottom).

Overall, our results show that the long-range intermolecular interactions of organic aggregates lead to substantial effects in their (multimode) cavity-polariton spectra and dark state delocalization measures. This demonstrates the need to include accurate internal models for the electronic coupling in polaritonic systems made from crystals, polymers, or aggregates. For example, the large Rabi splitting (0.06 eV) obtained in the present 2D studies was the result of realistic 5-10 D dipole and realistic molecular geometries. To attempt to produce such a Rabi splitting in a 1D lattice would require unrealistic dipoles on length scales where transition dipole coupling effects are no longer meaningful, leading to especially inadequate description of the dark modes. We expect this substantial delocalization of the molecular states to have important effects on photophysical properties and transport phenomena in these systems,

- [1] D. M. Coles, N. Somaschi, P. Michetti, C. Clark, P. G. Lagoudakis, P. G. Savvidis, and D. G. Lidzey, Polaritonmediated energy transfer between organic dyes in a strongly coupled optical microcavity, Nat. Mater. 13, 712 (2014).
- [2] M. Reitz, F. Mineo, and C. Genes, Energy transfer and correlations in cavity-embedded donor-acceptor configurations, Sci. Rep. 8, 9050 (2018).
- [3] C. A. DelPo, S.-U.-Z. Khan, K. H. Park, B. Kudisch, B. P. Rand, and G. D. Scholes, Polariton decay in donor–acceptor cavity systems, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 12, 9774 (2021).
- [4] X. Zhong, T. Chervy, S. Wang, J. George, A. Thomas, J. A. Hutchison, E. Devaux, C. Genet, and T. W. Ebbesen, Nonradiative energy transfer mediated by hybrid light-matter states, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55, 6202 (2016).
- [5] X. Zhong, T. Chervy, L. Zhang, A. Thomas, J. George, C. Genet, J. A. Hutchison, and T. W. Ebbesen, Energy transfer between spatially separated entangled molecules, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 9034 (2017).
- [6] L. A. Martínez-Martínez, M. Du, R. F. Ribeiro, S. Kéna-Cohen, and J. Yuen-Zhou, Polariton-assisted singlet fission in acene aggregates, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9, 1951 (2018).
- [7] M. Tavis and F. W. Cummings, Exact solution for an *N*-molecule—radiation-field Hamiltonian, Phys. Rev. **170**, 379 (1968).
- [8] R. H. Tichauer, D. Morozov, I. Sokolovskii, J. J. Toppari, and G. Groenhof, Identifying vibrations that control non-adiabatic relaxation of polaritons in strongly coupled molecule–cavity systems, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 13, 6259 (2022).
- [9] J. A. Campos-Gonzalez-Angulo and J. Yuen-Zhou, Generalization of the Tavis–Cummings model for multi-level anharmonic systems: Insights on the second excitation manifold, J. Chem. Phys. 156, 194308 (2022).
- [10] Y.-T. Chuang, M.-W. Lee, and L.-Y. Hsu, Tavis-Cummings model revisited: A perspective from macroscopic quantum electrodynamics, Front. Phys. 10, 980167 (2022).
- [11] M. Kasha, Energy transfer mechanisms and the molecular exciton model for molecular aggregates, Radiat. Res. 20, 55 (1963).
- [12] D. G. Lidzey, D. D. C. Bradley, M. S. Skolnick, T. Virgili, S. Walker, and D. M. Whittaker, Strong exciton–photon coupling in an organic semiconductor microcavity, Nature (London) 395, 53 (1998).

leaving the door open for further studies that consider this effect.

The stochastically evaluated observables are obtained here through an efficient molecular-coupling scheme that will apply to other, more sophisticated model Hamiltonians, enabling future work to consider even more realistic system geometries and internal structure when studying energy and charge transfer in many-molecules polariton systems.

N.C.B. acknowledges the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE-2034835. R.F.R. acknowledges generous start up funds from Emory University. J.R.C. was supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. CHE-2204263. D.N. was supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. CHE-2245253.

- [13] J. R. Caram, S. Doria, D. M. Eisele, F. S. Freyria, T. S. Sinclair, P. Rebentrost, S. Lloyd, and M. G. Bawendi, Room-temperature micron-scale exciton migration in a stabilized emissive molecular aggregate, Nano Lett. 16, 6808 (2016).
- [14] T. Botzung, D. Hagenmüller, S. Schütz, J. Dubail, G. Pupillo, and J. Schachenmayer, Dark state semilocalization of quantum emitters in a cavity, Phys. Rev. B 102, 144202 (2020).
- [15] G. D. Scholes, Polaritons and excitons: Hamiltonian design for enhanced coherence, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 476, 20200278 (2020).
- [16] R. F. Ribeiro, Multimode polariton effects on molecular energy transport and spectral fluctuations, Commun. Chem. 5, 48 (2022).
- [17] T. F. Allard and G. Weick, Disorder-enhanced transport in a chain of lossy dipoles strongly coupled to cavity photons, Phys. Rev. B 106, 245424 (2022).
- [18] G. Engelhardt and J. Cao, Unusual dynamical properties of disordered polaritons in microcavities, Phys. Rev. B 105, 064205 (2022).
- [19] Z. Zhou, H.-T. Chen, J. E. Subotnik, and A. Nitzan, Interplay between disorder, local relaxation, and collective behavior for an ensemble of emitters outside versus inside a cavity, Phys. Rev. A 108, 023708 (2023).
- [20] G. J. R. Aroeira, K. T. Kairys, and R. F. Ribeiro, Theoretical analysis of exciton wave packet dynamics in polaritonic wires, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 14, 5681 (2023).
- [21] V. M. Agranovich, M. Litinskaia, and D. G. Lidzey, Cavity polaritons in microcavities containing disordered organic semiconductors, Phys. Rev. B 67, 085311 (2003).
- [22] P. Michetti and G. C. La Rocca, Polariton states in disordered organic microcavities, Phys. Rev. B 71, 115320 (2005).
- [23] V. M. Agranovich and Y. N. Gartstein, Nature and dynamics of low-energy exciton polaritons in semiconductor microcavities, Phys. Rev. B 75, 075302 (2007).
- [24] A. Mandal, D. Xu, A. Mahajan, J. Lee, M. Delor, and D. R. Reichman, Microscopic theory of multimode polariton dispersion in multilayered materials, Nano Lett. 23, 4082 (2023).
- [25] G. Engelhardt and J. Cao, Polariton localization and dispersion properties of disordered quantum emitters in multimode microcavities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 213602 (2023).

- [26] M. Litinskaya and P. Reineker, Loss of coherence of exciton polaritons in inhomogeneous organic microcavities, Phys. Rev. B 74, 165320 (2006).
- [27] E. Suyabatmaz and R. F. Ribeiro, Vibrational polariton transport in disordered media, J. Chem. Phys. 159, 034701 (2023).
- [28] N. C. Bradbury, C. Chuang, A. P. Deshmukh, E. Rabani, R. Baer, J. R. Caram, and D. Neuhauser, Stochastically realized observables for excitonic molecular aggregates, J. Phys. Chem. A 124, 10111 (2020).
- [29] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L241303 for full description of the extended dipole model, analysis of the participation ratio in varying molecular geometries, and analysis of the case of *I* aggregates in cavities.
- [30] A. P. Deshmukh, N. Geue, N. C. Bradbury, T. L. Atallah, C. Chuang, M. Pengshung, J. Cao, E. M. Sletten, D. Neuhauser, and J. R. Caram, Bridging the gap between H- and J-aggregates: Classification and supramolecular tunability for excitonic band structures in two-dimensional molecular aggregates, Chem. Phys. Rev. 3, 021401 (2022).
- [31] M. Kasha, H. R. Rawls, and M. A. El-Bayoumi, The exciton model in molecular spectroscopy, Pure Appl. Chem. 11, 371 (1965).
- [32] N. J. Hestand and F. C. Spano, Expanded theory of H- and J-molecular aggregates: The effects of vibronic coupling and intermolecular charge transfer, Chem. Rev. 118, 7069 (2018).

- [33] S. Doria, T. S. Sinclair, N. D. Klein, D. I. G. Bennett, C. Chuang, F. S. Freyria, C. P. Steiner, P. Foggi, K. A. Nelson, J. Cao, A. Aspuru-Guzik, S. Lloyd, J. R. Caram, and M. G. Bawendi, Photochemical control of exciton superradiance in light-harvesting nanotubes, ACS Nano 12, 4556 (2018).
- [34] A. P. Deshmukh, D. Koppel, C. Chuang, D. M. Cadena, J. Cao, and J. R. Caram, Design principles for two-dimensional molecular aggregates using Kasha's model: Tunable photophysics in near and short-wave infrared, J. Phys. Chem. C 123, 18702 (2019).
- [35] L.-W. Wang and A. Zunger, Solving schrödinger's equation around a desired energy: Application to silicon quantum dots, J. Chem. Phys. 100, 2394 (1994).
- [36] A. Weiße, G. Wellein, A. Alvermann, and H. Fehske, The kernel polynomial method, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 275 (2006).
- [37] R. Kosloff, Time-dependent quantum-mechanical methods for molecular dynamics, J. Phys. Chem. 92, 2087 (1988).
- [38] A. Ioffe and A. Regel, Non-crystalline, amorphous, and liquid electronic semiconductors, in *Progress in Semiconductors* (Heywood, London, 1960), pp. 237–291.
- [39] P. W. Anderson and P. R. Weiss, Exchange narrowing in paramagnetic resonance, Rev. Mod. Phys. **25**, 269 (1953).
- [40] H. Sumi, Exciton-lattice interaction and the line shape of exciton absorption in molecular crystals, J. Chem. Phys. 67, 2943 (1977).